

**TOWN OF PAYSON
GREEN VALLEY REDEVELOPMENT AREA COMMISSION
ACTION FROM THE PUBLIC MEETING**

MEETING DATE AND TIME: June 25, 2009 at 4:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Community Presbyterian Church, Sanctuary
800 W. Main Street, Payson, Arizona

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ROLL CALL: X Ken Volz, X Minette Richardson,
X Charles Proudfoot, E Mark Waldrop, E Ken Mooney,
X Gary Cordell

Others Present: Alexis Bechman, Pete Alshire, Project Manager Cathy Boone

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS – Comments concerning items not on the agenda. Those wishing to address the Commission during this time need not request permission in advance. No discussion regarding Public Comments is permitted. Members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01 (G), action taken as a result of Public Comment is limited to (1) asking staff to study the matter, (2) responding to individual criticism, or (3) asking that the matter be placed on a future agenda.

- None

D. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION

1. Discussion/possible action regarding Main Street Enhancement Grant and Possible Responses to a forthcoming letter from Tom Jensen, Arizona Department of Transportation re such Grant
 - Commissioner Proudfoot gave a brief history on the meetings regarding the ADOT Enhancement Grant. An ADOT representative (Mr. Jensen) was to draft a letter answering specific questions on the grant. GVRA had not received a copy of that letter so they discussed possible scenarios and agreed:
 - If the timeline cannot be extended they would thank them and let it go.
 - If the letter says there would be 36 months GVRA recommends to continue.

- If the letter says that by showing good faith from the time funds are drawn they would like to proceed with construction documents with the town match.
 - If the letter states a different timeline then GVRA did not have an opinion for town council.
 - If construction documents can be paid through the grant, GVRA recommended applying grant money for construction document phase.
 - If the construction documents were not able to be paid through the grant the money would have to be returned.
 - If in-kind donations count as a match GVRA would aggressively pursue possible in-kind donations for the project.
 - If the letter says in-kind donations were not accepted GVRA would recommend doing less of the project.
 - If volunteer labor could be donated GVRA would seek volunteers for any area appropriate.
 - If the letter were to come back saying volunteers were not allowed; GVRA recommends proceeding but doing less of the project.
 - If the letter said relocation of utility lines were site specific then these should be included as grant eligible.
 - If site specific utilities are not included GVRA would pursue a reduction of cost for the relocation.
 - A motion was made by Commissioner Proudfoot, seconded by Vice Chairman Richardson, to have a letter drafted by Project Manager Boone to town council informing them of the good faith efforts GVRA members have given in response to possible scenarios to the letter expected from CAAG/ADOT representatives. Motion passed unanimously.
2. Discussion/possible action regarding A. Dye Design's, 87 and 260 Landscape and Signage Guidelines
- A motion was made by Vice Chairman Richardson, seconded by Commissioner Cordell, that GVRA supports A. Dye Design's Highway 87/260 landscape and signage guidelines and plans as that relates to the entrance to the GVRA district along Beeline Highway. Motion passed unanimously.

E. INFORMATION AND UPDATES FOR THE COMMISSION (No Discussion Permitted)

- None

F. REQUESTS TO STAFF FOR THE PLACEMENT OF ITEMS ON A
FUTURE AGENDA (No Discussion Permitted)

- Letter from ADOT Representative Tom Jensen once it arrives
- GVRA/Main Street Program orientation workshop with Ray Erlandsen

G. ADJOURNMENT – 5:45 p.m.